## **EXHIBIT 4**

H. Neil Matkin February 08, 2023

```
1
                  THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                          SHERMAN DIVISION
 3
     JOSEPH MICHAEL PHILLIPS,
 4
                     Plaintiff,
     VS.
 5
                                   ) Civil Action
                                   ) No. 4:22-cv-184-ALM
 6
     COLLIN COUNTY COMMUNITY
     COLLEGE DISTRICT, et al.,
 7
                    Defendants.
 8
 9
10
            ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED REALTIME DEPOSITION OF
11
                           H. NEIL MATKIN
12
                          FEBRUARY 8, 2023
13
14
15
16
          ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED REALTIME DEPOSITION OF H. NEIL
17
     MATKIN, produced as a witness at the instance of the
     Plaintiff, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled
18
     and numbered cause on February 8, 2023, from 9:41 a.m.
19
20
     to 6:21 p.m., before Christy Cortopassi, CSR in and for
21
     the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at
     the law offices of Abernathy Roeder Boyd Hullett, 1700
22
23
     N. Redbud Boulevard, Suite 300, McKinney, Texas 75069,
24
     pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the
25
     provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.
```



February 08, 2023 Page 6

1	H. NEIL MATKIN,
2	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
3	EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. GRUEBEL:
5	Q. Good morning, Mr. Matkin.
6	A. Good morning.
7	Q. We already had these pleasantries off the
8	record but now we're on the record. As I have said, my
9	name is Greg Greubel and I represent Michael Phillips in
10	this case, and I'm going to be asking you some questions
11	about his termination and the events leading up to it.
12	My colleague here, Josh, has started a
13	timer. We get seven hours for a deposition. Just to
14	avoid us all having to do math, we're trying to keep
15	track of it with his timer, but obviously the times that
16	we begin and end will be reflected on the record. So if
17	there is any dispute about that, we can talk about that
18	if we get close to that seven-hour mark. I never try to
19	go seven hours but sometimes it is inevitable.
20	So can you just state your name and address
21	for the record.
22	A. Harvey Neil Matkin. My work my home
23	address?
24	Q. Yes.
25	A. 760 County Road 557, Farmersville, Texas 75442.



20.

## incident?

A. We had a student who was unfortunately involved in a terrible shooting, a perpetrator, a terrible shooting in El Paso. I can't recall now if he was a current student or a former but he had been a student at Collin College. We had received subpoenas from the FBI and were preparing documents and releasing records under their quidance.

asks that law enforcement coordinate through my office or the chief of police's office, who was, in fact, the chief correspondent and chief respondent to the FBI special agent, and I asked everyone else if they were contacted by the press to coordinate through my office or to coordinate through the public relations office, if I recall correctly.

Q. So if you look there at -- it's marked as Collin College 928, the details on this employee coaching form. It says, Following the El Paso shooting on August 3rd 2019, that paragraph. There's a quoted portion that it says you sent a directive to college community.

Is that an accurate quote from the directive that you sent?

A. Help me get to the exact spot that you are



2.2

contact Michael about a subject of race relations in regards to the shooting?

- A. I would have to go back and read the article, see what the article was about, but we were getting press inquiries around the clock as a result of the crucis matter and we had a team of reporters show up on our Frisco campus. All I was trying to do with my directive was to make sure that the college's PR and law enforcement were aware of the media inquiries that we were receiving.
- Q. But wouldn't you agree that race relations in the Dallas area is a matter of public concern?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And the Washington Post wanted to speak with Michael about that matter, about race relations?

  MR. CRAWFORD: Objection; form.
- A. I haven't talked to the Washington Post. I would be happy to inquire as to why they actually called. I don't know. I know what Michael believed and reported and if it is true, then I have no issue.
- Q. (BY MR. GRUEBEL) But no issue in regards to policy DGC Local or no issues in regard to your directive?
- A. Well, my directive wasn't followed. We were contacted by the press, cited as a Collin College member



1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

February 08, 2023 Page 193

and my office and PR was not notified. I don't know fully how that came about or if PR notified Kristen that the article had come out and asked it or what happened there, but I don't have any knowledge that that ever happened.

- Q. But did you think that you can issue directives that place restrictions or restraints on employees' rights to speak out on matters of public concern?
  - A. My directive didn't do that at all.
  - Q. Can you explain that to me?
- A. Sure. We were being contacted by numerous press outlets every day and the follow-up to the crucis El Paso shooting, I simply asked for the PR department or the chief of police to be notified when we were contacted by the press or law enforcement. I gave no directive whatsoever regarding Michael speaking to the press as an expert on race.
  - Q. Then why was he punished for it?
  - A. He wasn't punished.
  - Q. He was issued an employee coaching form?
  - A. He was counseled to follow the directive.
    - Q. So he violated the directive?
  - A. That's what I said earlier, yes.
  - Q. And --
  - A. It appears to me.



February 08, 2023 Page 194

Q. If you look at Collin College 802, it's an email from Kristin Streater and it says, Going forward, if any member of the media contacts you about an event or incident related to the college, you are to direct them to contact the Collin College public relations office and the president's office.

Did you read that?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Was that your -- did she write that at your request?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Was that how you interpreted the directive that you issued on August 4, 2019?
- A. Close. My intent, again, was to coordinate press contacts and to know who was where doing what with the press relating to this matter since we were under an FBI subpoena.
- Q. But doesn't this say that you are to direct them, meaning the press, to contact the Collin College public relations office and president regarding any event or incident related to the college?
- A. I don't know what Kristen intended. I think she was trying to respond to Michael's commentary. I think she's reiterating the fact that there was a directive that he didn't follow. I haven't talked to



how can the college restrict his ability to speak to the Washington Post on matters of public concern without violating his First Amendment right?

- A. I've answered this already. There wasn't a restriction for Michael to speak to anybody. We simply asked him to notify the appropriate parties of the college so we knew what was happening.
- Q. Would you have approved his request to speak with the Washington Post?
- A. I don't really care who Michael speaks to.

  He's spoken to a lot of folks over the last eight years.

  I have not weighed in on a single one.
  - Q. Is Michael still employed at Collin College?
  - A. I don't think so. In fact, I know he's not.
- Q. Is that still the policy at Collin College that if an individual gets contacted about an incident related to the college by the press that they're to alert the president's office before they speak to the press?

MR. CRAWFORD: Objection; form.

A. I don't know that it's in policy and I haven't given additional directives. The crucis investigation is done so I don't -- it hasn't come back up. I'm not sure if it's something that's perceived to be a policy. It's not something I've directed.



2 3

Q. (BY MR. GRUEBEL) Would you agree that your directive placed a restraint on Michael's ability to speak to the press regarding race relations in Dallas as it related to the El Paso shooting?

- A. No, I don't agree with that.
- Q. Why?
- A. He spoke to them and he didn't get punished. All he got told to do was please alert and follow the directive that you were given to make sure that the folks that are coordinating the press coverage of the crucis event at the college are alerted. He could have easily spoken to anybody he wanted and done a courtesy alert to the PR department and would have been totally fine.
- Q. You keep saying that he wasn't punished but he received an employee coaching form. Can you tell me what distinction you're making there between receiving an employee coaching form and him being disciplined?
- A. Certainly. I'm happy to do that. A coaching form is an informal conversation that's not recorded in your personnel jacket anywhere. It doesn't figure into a disciplinary form in any shape or fashion or form unless it's something that's repeated again and then turns into something that becomes a problem. It doesn't affect his pay. It doesn't affect his assignments. It



-17

to the Washington Post if he would have asked before he spoke with them?

A. It's not germane because Michael has no responsibility to ask me anything or the college anything about speaking to the Washington Post unless he wants to use Collin College's name, which wasn't an issue in this matter.

It looks like to me like he did what he was supposed to do and told them not to refer to him as anything other than a history professor or a race expert.

- Q. But then why did he receive an employee coaching form?
- A. Because he didn't notify the folks about the press contact as directed.
- Q. So you are saying that he doesn't need to -- he didn't need to seek your approval but he got in trouble because he didn't contact the appropriate folks?
- A. We asked all employees to coordinate and let us know what press was asking what while we were cooperating with the FBI on a very highly publicized event that involved one of our students doing atrocious and horrible things and that's truly it.
- Q. So is it your testimony that he did not violate your directive?



1	A. No. My testimony is, he didn't notify anybody
2	until we notified him that we had seen the article or
3	whoever notified him. It looks like it was Kristen, and
4	so he did not follow the directive that I issued.
5	Q. Is it your testimony that he violated your
6	directive by speaking with the Washington Post?
7	A. No. My testimony is, he violated my directive
8	by not alerting us that he spoke to the Washington Post.
9	Q. So if he would have done that after the fact,
10	it wouldn't have violated your directive?
11	A. If he would have notified public relations or
12	the president's office, it would have been in accordance
13	with my specific directive.
14	Q. Before or after he spoke with them?
15	A. I don't think it matters. It's a matter of
16	alerting. It would have needed to be soon. We were
17	trying to coordinate with all of the different things
18	that were happening.
19	Q. How was he supposed to know it didn't matter if
20	he spoke to you before or after he talked to the
21	Washington Post?
22	A. I don't have a way of answering that. He
23	didn't seem to know that it was important to talk to me
24	at all.



Q. Go back to Collin College 802 and how Kristen

February 08, 2023 Page 202

Streater interpreted your directive. She says, Going
forward, if any member of the media contacts you about
an event or incident related to the college, you are to
direct them to contact the Collin College public
relations office and the president's office.
Doesn't that mean you are that he was
supposed to refer all media inquiries that he received
to your public relations office or the president's
office?
A. I think, if I may, I think that she's
responding to Michael. Go back to what she originally
wrote on the coaching form. She correctly quoted
exactly what I said apparently, Please refer all press
reports you may receive to Marcy or by phone to the
president's office.
Here she's answering Michael's comment.
All that Michael would have had to have done in this
case from the way I read it is to simply alert folks
that he had been contacted.
O. Did it matter if he did that before or after he

Q. Did it matter if he did that before or after he spoke with them?

MR. CRAWFORD: Objection; form.

A. As long as he would have notified so that the college would have had an understanding of what was going on around us, I think we would have been fine in



1	either case.
2	Q. (BY MR. GRUEBEL) So it didn't matter if it was
3	before or after?
4	A. It wouldn't matter to me.
5	(Exhibit 40 marked.)
6	Q. (BY MR. GRUEBEL) Let me give you now what's
7	marked as Matkin 40. This is a longer one.
8	A. You want me to focus on the memorandum?
9	Q. Have you ever seen that before?
10	A. No, sir, I haven't.
11	Q. Were you aware that Michael Phillips received
12	an informal coaching from Mary Barnes-Tilley in June
13	of 2020?
14	A. I don't think I was involved in any part of
15	that.
16	Q. Were you aware of it?
17	A. No. Not until just now.
18	Q. Not until right now sitting here is the first
19	time you heard about it?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Did you ever talk to Mary Barnes-Tilley about
22	Michael Phillips' Facebook feed?
23	A. No, I have not.
24	Q. Did you ever ask Mary Barnes-Tilley to keep
25	track of Michael Phillips' Facebook feed?



February 08, 2023 Page 256

1	THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	SHERMAN DIVISION
3	JOSEPH MICHAEL PHILLIPS, )
4	Plaintiff, )  Orivil Action
5	VS. ) No. 4:22-cv-184-ALM
6	COLLIN COUNTY COMMUNITY ) COLLEGE DISTRICT, et al., )
7	) Defendants. )
8	
9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION DEPOSITION OF H. NEIL MATKIN
10	FEBRUARY 8, 2023
11	I, Christy Cortopassi, Certified Shorthand Reporter
12	in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the
13	following:
14	That the witness, H. NEIL MATKIN, was duly sworn by
15	the officer and that the transcript of the oral
16	deposition is a true record of the testimony given by
17	the witness;
18	That the deposition transcript was submitted on
19	to the witness or to the attorney
20	for the witness for examination, signature and return to
21	me by;
22	That the amount of time used by each party at the
23	deposition is as follows:
24 25	Mr. Greg H. Greubel06:16 Mr. Charles Joseph Crawford00:00 Mr. Robert J. Davis00:00
- 1	



February 08, 2023 Page 257

1	I further certify that pursuant to FRCP No.
2	30(f)(i) that the signature of the deponent:
3	X was requested by the deponent or a party
4	before the completion of the deposition and that the
5	signature is to be returned within 30 days from date of
6	receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached
7	Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the
8	reasons therefor;
9	was not requested by the deponent or a party
10	before the completion of the deposition.
11	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
12	related to, nor employed by any of the parties or
13	attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
14	taken, and further that I am not financially or
15	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
16	Certified to by me thisof,
17	2023.
18	
19	
20	Christy Cortopassi, Texas CSR 6222
21	Expiration Date: 10/31/2024
22	Firm Registration No. 633 Magna Legal Services
23	866.624.6221  www.MagnaLS.com
24	www.radgitabb.com
25	

